

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

•	
Proposal title: Estate Rationalisation – Surplus Asset Dis	posals
Project stage and type: ☐ Initial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case ☐ Full Business Case
☐ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☐ Service	☐ New ☐ Changing
☐ Other [please state]	☐ Already exists / review
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Lois Woodcock
Service Area: Economy of Place	Lead Officer role: Asset Strategy and Information Manager
	5
Step 1: What do we want to do?	
The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is compliant with the council's policies and supports the constrategy, the One City Ecological Emergency Strategy and	uncil's strategic objectives under the One City Climate
This assessment should be started at the beginning of the knowledge of the project, the service area that will delive changes as needed.	
It is good practice to take a team approach to completing guidance on completing this document. Please contact the advice and feedback.	•
1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of the proposal and why it is racronyms.	• •
To obtain Cabinet approval to the disposal on the open by the Estates Rationalisation Board as being surplus to	market of BCC assets which have been initially identified the Council's requirements.
1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effe explain why you are sure there will be no environmental Sustainable City and Climate Change Service. If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment.	ects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No'
Yes No [please select]	
[piedse select]	
1.3 If the proposal is part of an options appraisabeen assessed and included in the recomn If 'Yes' please ensure that the details of the environmental	•
cons section of the <u>project management options appraisa</u>	·
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable	[please select]

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

2.1 Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support <u>our corporate environmental objectives</u> and the wider <u>One City Climate and Ecological Emergency strategies</u>.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many categories)						
ENV1 Carbon neutral: Emissions of climate changing gases		productiv	e use by third	d parties a	s will enable them and that the energy rt of any works car	efficiency of the
BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city in achieving net zero by 2030. Will the proposal involve	Benefits	would have emissions emissions recently le that do no	ve reduced the by 37.7 tonned; The other eased, have be use energy	ne council nes (less the disposal s peen vacal ne these	before the 2022/2.7's scope 3 electricity and 0.5% of the consistes proposed have not for some time, consistent is not ed emissions on the	ty and gas uncil's total scope 3 e either been or are plots of land recent data and
transport, or the use of energy in buildings? Will the proposal involve the purchase of goods or services? If the answer is yes to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact.	Enhancing actions	owners or owners w and build Potential	f the assets a ill be provide ings to delive buyers may b	nd will res d with inf r benefici be encour	be the responsibilical from their deciporation about he all climate and ecoloaged to engage in the mate Change Ask.	sions. Future ow to manage land ogical outcomes.
Consider the scale and	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or	less	☐ 1 – 5 years	
timeframe of the impact, particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates.	Adverse impacts	for sale, o	or by their nev	w owners	ent works to prepa will create emissio led by greater futu	ns in the short

Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions	Any impacts and mitigation will be the responsibility of the new owners of the assets and will result from their decisions. Future owners will be provided with information about how to manage land and buildings to deliver beneficial climate and ecological outcomes.			
	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
ENV2 Ecological recovery: Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits		around these building ity net gain.	s may be altered to prov	ide
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce consumption of products	Enhancing actions	owners o	f the assets and will reall the fill be provided with in	I be the responsibility of esult from their decisions of the formation about how to cial climate and ecological	s. Future manage land
that undermine ecosystems around the world. If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat	Adverse impacts	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
within Bristol, then consider how your proposed mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible.	Mitigating actions				
Further guidance					
☐ No impact	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits				
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be minimised or swapped for	Enhancing actions Persistence	of affacts:	□ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
less impactful ones, where they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse			□ 1 – 5 years ment works will generate	

<u>Further guidance</u>	Mitigating actions	Any impacts and mitigation will be owners of the assets and will resonance owners will be provided with information and buildings to deliver beneficial	ult from their decision ormation about how to	s. Future o manage land
☐ No impact	Persistence (of effects:	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
	Benefits	Any construction or redevelopme		
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change Bristol's climate is already	Enhancing actions	Any impacts and mitigation will be owners of the assets and will rest owners will be provided with info and buildings to deliver beneficia	ult from their decision ormation about how to	s. Future o manage land
changing, and increasingly	Persistence (of effects: 🗌 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal	Adverse impacts	Disposals of assets that could be could prevent improved resilienc		prove resilience
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding).		Future disposals of green and blu has been indicated by the relevan for:	nt team that it is not u	sed or needed
Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events.	Mitigating actions	Generating heat for a heat network adjacent building, or renewable of Function) Slowing stormwater runoff, acting infrastructure (Highways and Floor	electricity (Bristol City	Leap Client
Further guidance No impact		Carbon sinks or potentially signifi (Parks and Sustainable City Team Providing shade trees to manage	icant changes in biodiv i) the temperature of vi	ulnerable
		buildings or areas of the city in a Team).	warming climate (Sust	tainable City
	Persistence (of effects: 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to air, water, or land	Benefits			
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	Enhancing actions			
pollution occurring to air,	Persistence (of effects: 🗌 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years

│	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
Further guidance	Mitigating actions	owners o	f the assets and wil	will be the responsibility of I result from their decisions In information about how to Eficial climate and ecological	s. Future manage land
water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts	Any cons	truction or redevelo	opment works may cause p	ollution.

Step 3: Actions

3.1 Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Future owners will be provided with information about how to	Lois Woodcock	30/06/2025
manage land and buildings to deliver beneficial climate and		
ecological outcomes.		
Disposals of vacant land will be made after checking whether will	Lois Woodcock	30/06/2024
be critical for the short term for the delivery of:		
Generating heat for a heat network, ground source heat for an		
adjacent building, or renewable electricity (Bristol City Leap Client Function)		
, ,		
Slowing stormwater runoff, acting as a flood basin, or cycle		
infrastructure (Highways and Flood Risk Team)		
Carbon sinks or potentially significant changes in biodiversity net		
gain (Parks and Sustainable City Team)		
Providing shade trees to manage the temperature of vulnerable		
buildings or areas of the city in a warming climate (Sustainable City		
Team).		

Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for

decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the <u>Sustainable City and Climate Change Service</u> before final submission of your decision pathway documentation¹.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here and included on the cover sheet of the decision pathway documentation.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):
Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer: Giles Liddell, Environmental Performance Co-ordinator	Submitting author: Lois Woodcock
Date: 11/01/2024	Date: 08/01/24

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.